

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/807,511 McCrack 347-50B 03/24/2004 Thomas William McCracken 3390 **EXAMINER** 7590 02/27/2006 Alexander D Raring MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN PO Box 2266 Eads Station Arlington, VA 22202 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3752 DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/807,5	11	MCCRACKEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
	Trevor Mo		3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF Those of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apples after the mailing date of this co	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 March 2004.			
2a) This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,13 and 15</u> is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn from co		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any ot Replacement drawing sheet(s) include 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	re: a) accepted or by ojection to the drawing(s) ling the correction is require	oe held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/07/2004.	/ (PTO-948) or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/807,511 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 9 the word "downstream" is not in relation to the drawings of the invention. In figures 2 and 3, assuming the flow is coming from the right in figure 2 and from the left in figure 3, the second conduit (44) is upstream of the first conduit (42). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 1, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. In regard to claim 1, in line 13, it appears to the Examiner that the word "second" should be substituted for the word "first" to keep in accordance with the applicant's drawings. Also in line 16 of claim 1, it appears to the Examiner that the word "upstream" should be substituted for the word "downstream" to keep in accordance with the applicant's drawings. Appropriate correction is required for clarity of first and second conduit orientation.
- 4. In regard to claim 13 in line 15, it appears to the Examiner that the word "upstream" should be substituted for the word "downstream" to keep in accordance with the applicant's drawings. Appropriate correction is required for clarity of first and second conduit orientation.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,511 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

5. In regard to claim 15 in line 5, it appears to the Examiner that the word "upstream" should be substituted for the word "downstream" to keep in accordance with the applicant's drawings. Appropriate correction is required for clarity of first and second conduit orientation.

Claim Objections

- 6. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2 of claim 4, the word "to" should be inserted after the word "adjacent" so as to read "adjacent to the" in lieu of "adjacent the". Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claims 2-12, 14 and 16-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Base et al. (6,003,789), Mathias et al. (5,565,241), Holmes (5,484,107), Dobbeling et al. (6,045,058), Dou et al. (5,289,976), Kukesh (5,678,764).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trevor McGraw Art Unit 3752

TEM

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700